## **Rhodium(II)** Porphyrin Bimetalloradical Complexes: Preparation and Enhanced Reactivity with CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>

Xiao-Xiang Zhang and Bradford B. Wayland\*

Department of Chemistry University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323

## Received February 7, 1994

Metalloradical ( $M^{\circ}$ ) reactions with substrates like  $H_2$  and  $CH_4$ , where atom abstractions are highly endothermic, can proceed by a concerted interaction of two metalloradicals with the substrate through a four-centered transition state (TS).1-4 Reactions that



utilize this type of pathway have relatively low activation enthalpies because of extensive bond-making in the TS, but they generally occur slowly because of the kinetic disadvantages for termolecular processes and the large activation entropies associated with organizing three particles in the TS.<sup>1-4</sup> (Tetramesitylporphyrinato)rhodium(II) ((TMP)Rh<sup>II</sup>) is an example of a stable metalloradical that accomplishes activation of CH4 and H2 through a four-centered TS1,2 and manifests unusual selectivity among metal complexes that activate C-H bonds<sup>5-9</sup> by exhibiting a kinetic preference for methane activation compared with the reactivity of other aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons. This selective methane reactivity has its origin in the steric demands of the trimolecular transition state which favors the smallest substrate.1 A potential approach for obtaining improved kinetics is to incorporate two metalloradical centers into a single molecular unit in a manner that provides a bimolecular reaction pathway. The only previously reported dirhodium diporphyrin complex (Rh<sub>2</sub>DPB) contains porphyrin units attached to biphenylene at an interporphyrin distance that preorganizes and supports RhIL-Rh<sup>II</sup> bond formation.<sup>10</sup> This article reports on the formation of a dirhodium diporphyrin complex in which the porphyrin steric requirements prohibit Rh<sup>II</sup>-Rh<sup>II</sup> bonding and the resulting bimetalloradical complex (\*Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Rh\*) reacts with H<sub>2</sub> and CH4 at rates that are substantially larger than those for (TMP)-Rh• and (tetraxylylporphyrinato)rhodium(II) dimer, [(TXP)-Rh]2.

A diporphyrin ligand (3) in which the porphyrin units are tethered by a diether (-O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>O-) spacer was obtained by the reaction sequence described in Scheme 1.11,12 Subsequent

(1) (a) Sherry, A. E.; Wayland, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1259.

(b) Wayland, B. B.; Ba, S.; Sherry, A. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5305.
 (2) Wayland, B. B.; Ba, S.; Sherry, A. E. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 148.
 (3) Simandi, L. I.; Budo-Zahonyi, E.; Szeverenyi, Z.; Nemeth, S. J. Chem.

Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 276. (4) (a) Halpern, J.; Pribinic, M. Inorg. Chem. 1970, 9, 2626. (b) Halpern

J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 62, 31. (c) Halpern, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 77, L105. (5) (a) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 245. (b) Jones, W. D.;

Feher, F. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 91. (c) Hill, C. L., Ed. Activation and

Functionalization of Alkanes; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1989.
(6) Ghosh, C. K.; Graham, W. A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 4726.
(7) (a) Janowicz, A. H.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3929.
(b) Harper, T. G.; Shinomoto, R. S.; Deming, M. A.; Flood, T. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7915.

(8) (a) Fendrick, C. M.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2214. (b) Watson, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 6491.

(9) (a) Cummins, C. C.; Baxter, S. M.; Wolczanski, P. T. J. Am. Chem. Co. 1988, 110, 8731. (b) Thompson, M. E.; Baxter, S. M.; Bulls, A. R.;
 Burger, B. J.; Nolan, M. C.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J.
 E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 8109.
 (10) Collman, J. P.; Ha, Y.; Guilard, R.; Lopez, M.-L. Inorg. Chem. 1993,

32. 1788.

Table 1.	Initial Rates	(M s <sup>-1</sup> ) for	Reactions	of (Por)Rh <sup>II</sup>
Complexe	s with H <sub>2</sub> and	CH4 (296	K) <sup>a,b</sup>	

	·Rh(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> Rh•	((TXP)Rh) <sub>2</sub>	(TMP)Rh•
H₂	$(2.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-6}$	$(4.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10}$	$(4.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-9}$
CH₄	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-8}$	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$	$(1.9 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-10}$

<sup>a</sup> The initial rates were calculated from the observed rate constants using the same molar concentration of substrates and Rh(II) sites.  $[Rh(II)]_i = 2.55 \times 10^{-4} M, [H_2]_i = [CH_4]_i = 2.30 \times 10^{-2} M.$ <sup>b</sup> Initial rate =  $(d[Rh(II)]/dt)_{t=0} = 2(d[*Rh(CH_2)_6Rh \cdot [/dt)_{t=0} = 2(d[((TX-P)Rh)_2]/dt)_{t=0} = (d[(TMP)Rh^*]/dt)_{t=0}.$ 

Scheme 1



1) Rh<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1 2) NaBH<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>I -O(CH2)6O-(Rh) -O(CH2)6O-

conversion to the dirhodium(II) derivative is accomplished by procedures<sup>1,13,14</sup> outlined in Scheme 2. The dimethyl derivative 4 photolyzes in benzene to form a dirhodium(II) complex (•Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Rh• (5)) which is shown to be a persistent bimetalloradical through observation of Curie behavior for the porphyrin pyrrole contact shifts (350-200 K) and EPR spectra in toluene glass (90 K) that are characteristic of low-spin rhodium(II)<sup>15</sup> ( $g_{\parallel}$ = 1.93,  $g_{\perp}$  = 2.66;  $A^{103}$ Rh( $g_{\parallel}$ ) = 159 MHz). Mesityl groups in the diporphyrin ligand prohibit Rh<sup>II</sup>-Rh<sup>II</sup> bonding, and 5 thus provides a stable bimetalloradical for comparative studies with (TMP)Rh<sup>II</sup>. The bimetalloradical, \*Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Rh\* (5), readily reacts with CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> (T = 296 K;  $P_{H_2} = 0.70$  atm,  $P_{CH_4} = 1.0$  atm) to form HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>RhCH<sub>3</sub> (6) and HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>-

(11) (a) Lindsey, J. S.; Wagner, R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 828. (b) Little, R. G. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1978, 15, 203. (12) 1: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.92 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 4.8 Hz, pyrrole), 8.85 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 4.8 Hz, pyrrole), 8.84 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 4.8 Hz, pyrrole), 8.82 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 4.8 Hz, pyrrole), 7.78 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 8.2 Hz, o-phenyl), 7.11 (s, 6H, m-phenyl), 6.42 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 8.2 Hz, m'-phenyl), 4.25 (br s, 1H, -OH), 2.45 (s, 6H, p-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41 (s, 3H, p'-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.96 (s, 12H, o-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.84 (s, 6H, o'-CH<sub>3</sub>), -1.73 (br s, 2H, -NH); HRMS-FAB (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>48</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O 756.3829, found 756.3894; isolated yield, 16%. 2: HRMS-FAB (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>59</sub>H<sub>59</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OBr 918.3837, found 918.3840; isolated yield, 74H. Hz, -OCH<sub>2</sub>-), 2.45 (s, 12H, *p*-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41 (s, 6H, *p*<sup>2</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.97 (s, 24H, *o*-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.85 (s, 12H, *o*<sup>2</sup>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.54–1.36 (m, 8H, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-), –1.68 (br s, 4H, -NH); HRMS-FAB(M<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>112</sub>H<sub>106</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>1594.8441, found

(075, 471, -1V11); IRVIS-FAB (M<sup>-</sup>) calculate C<sub>1121106</sub>(1802, 1574, 041, 1584, 1594, 8412; isolated yield, 58%. (13) Ogoshi, H.; Setsune, J.; Omura, T.; Yoshida, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6461. (14) 4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  -5.29 (dd, 6H, Rh-<sup>13</sup>CH<sub>3</sub>, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>100Rb-H</sub> = 2.9 Hz, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>13C-H</sub> = 141.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>)  $\delta$  -13.58 (qd, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>100Rb-H</sub> = 29 Hz, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>H-13C</sub> = 141.5 Hz); HRMS-FAB (M<sup>+</sup>) calcd for C<sub>112</sub><sup>13</sup>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>108</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub> 1828.6773, found 1828.6842; yield, 85%. <sup>5</sup>: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 296 K)  $\delta$  185.7 (br s, 16H, pyrrole), 11.36 (br s, 8H, o-H + m-H), 8.90 (s, 12H, m'H), 5.10 (i, s, H), OCH<sub>2</sub>-), 3.58 (br s, 36H, o-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.52 (s, 18H, p-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.77 (s, 8H, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-); EPR (90 K, toluene glass)  $g_{xy} = 2.66, g_{z} = 1.93, A^{103}\text{Rh}(g_{z}) = 158$  MHz; yield, >96%. (15) Sherry, A. E.; Wayland, B. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 5010.

© 1994 American Chemical Society

Table 2, Rate Constants for CH Bond Reactions of •Rh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Rh• with Substrates at 296 K<sup>a</sup>

substrate	H <sub>2</sub>	CH4	CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	CH₃OH
$k (M^{-1} s^{-1})$	$(9.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	$(8.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$	$(5.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$

<sup>a</sup> Rate =  $k[^{Rh}(CH_2)_{6}Rh^{\bullet}][HX]; X = H, CH_3, CH_2CH_3, CH_2OH.$ 



Figure 1. Comparison of the change in molar concentrations of rhodium-(II) centers with time for the reactions of CH4 with \*Rh(CH2)6Rh\* (5),  $(TMP)Rh^{\bullet}$ , and  $((TXP)Rh)_2$  (T = 296 K,  $P_{CH_4}$  = 1.0 atm,  $[Rh(II)]_0$  =  $2.552 \times 10^{-3}$  M). Inset: <sup>1</sup>H NMR for the Rh-CH<sub>3</sub> and Rh-H sites in HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>RhCH<sub>3</sub> in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta_{Rh-H} = -40.07$  ppm,  $J_{103Rh-H} = 43.4$  Hz;  $\delta_{\text{Rh-CH}_3} = -5.29 \text{ ppm}, J_{103}_{\text{Rh-CH}_3} = 3.0 \text{ Hz}).$ 



Figure 2. Comparison of the change in molar concentrations of rhodium-(II) centers with time for the reactions of  $H_2$  with  $^{\circ}Rh(CH_2)_6Rh^{\circ}$  (5),  $(TMP)Rh^{\bullet}$ , and  $((TXP)Rh)_2 (T = 296 \text{ K}, P_{H_2} = 0.7 \text{ atm}, [Rh(II)]_0 =$  $1.23 \times 10^{-3}$  M). Inset: <sup>1</sup>H NMR for the Rh-H group in HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>-RhH in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> ( $\delta_{Rh-H} = -40.07$  ppm,  $J_{103}Rh-H = 43.4$  Hz).

RhH (7) respectively (eqs 1 and 2). Substantial rate increases

$$'Rh(CH_2)_6Rh' + CH_4 \stackrel{k_1}{\rightleftharpoons} HRh(CH_2)_6RhCH_3 \quad (1)$$

$${}^{\mathsf{r}}\mathsf{Rh}(\mathsf{CH}_2)_6\mathsf{Rh}^{\mathsf{r}} + \mathsf{H}_2 \stackrel{\kappa_2}{\rightleftharpoons} \mathsf{HRh}(\mathsf{CH}_2)_6\mathsf{Rh}\mathsf{H}$$
(2)

are observed for reactions 1 and 2 compared with the corresponding reactions of (TMP)Rh<sup>•</sup> and ((TXP)Rh)<sub>2</sub><sup>1,2</sup> (Figures 1 and 2; Table 1). Reactions 1 and 2 could occur through bimolecular or trimolecular transition states that utilize metalloradicals in one and two molecular units, respectively. Observation that the methane reaction (eq 1) is first order in 5, exclusively produces

HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>RhCH<sub>3</sub>, and occurs with large rate enhancements over the trimolecular process for (TMP)Rh<sup>11</sup> are indicative of a dominant bimolecular pathway. Preliminary equilibrium



constant measurements by 1H NMR for reactions 1 and 2 at 296 K ( $K_1 = (2.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$ ;  $K_2 = (2.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^6$ ) combined with the estimate that  $\Delta S_1^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta S_2^{\circ}$  will be in the range of -20 to -25 cal K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> provides estimates of  $\sim 60 \pm 2$  and  $\sim 56 \pm 2$ 3 kcal mol-1 for the Rh-H and Rh-CH3 bond dissociation enthalpies, respectively, in compounds 6 and 7, which are indistinguishable from values estimated for (TMP)Rh-H (60 kcal mol-1) and (TMP)Rh-CH<sub>3</sub> (57 kcal mol-1).1

Ethane reacts with 5 exclusively at the C-H unit to form HRh- $(CH_2)_6RhCH_2CH_3(8)$  (eq 3) rather than cleaving the C-C bond to produce the dimethyl complex 4, even though 4 is the thermodynamically favored product by more than 10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (D (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>): H<sub>3</sub>C-CH<sub>3</sub>, 90,<sup>16</sup> H<sub>3</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>-H, 100,<sup>16</sup> Rh-H, ~60, Rh-CH<sub>3</sub>, ~56, Rh-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, ~49<sup>17</sup>). The absence of

$${}^{*}Rh(CH_{2})_{6}Rh^{*} + CH_{3}CH_{3} \stackrel{\kappa_{3}}{\rightleftharpoons} HRh(CH_{2})_{6}RhCH_{2}CH_{3}$$
(3)

observed C-C bond cleavage is kinetic in origin and suggests that the interaction of the Rh<sup>II</sup> center with the C-H unit is directing the overall near-concerted cleavage of the CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-H bond. Methanol and 5 also react with complete regiospecificity for the C-H unit to form  $HRh(CH_2)_6RhCH_2OH(9)$  (eq 4) rather than C-O or O-H bond cleavage to form HORh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>RhCH<sub>3</sub> or HRh(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>6</sub>RhOCH<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Ratios of the rate constants

$${}^{*}Rh(CH_{2})_{6}Rh^{*} + CH_{3}OH \stackrel{k_{4}}{\Longrightarrow} HRh(CH_{2})_{6}RhCH_{2}OH$$
(4)

for the reaction of 5 with methane at 296 K to those for ethane  $(k_1/k_3 = 5.7 \times 10^2)$  and methanol  $(k_1/k_4 = 1.6 \times 10^2)$  illustrate the kinetic preference for the methane C-H bond reaction over those for substrates where the C-H bonds are activated relative to CH<sub>4</sub> (Table 2) (D(C-H) (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>): H<sub>3</sub>C-H, 105;<sup>16</sup> CH<sub>3</sub>-CH2-H, 100,16 HOCH2-H, 94).18

The observed rate enhancement for substrate reactions of 5 compared with (TMP)Rh• and [(TXP)Rh]2 illustrate the kinetic advantage that occurs by preorganization of two metalloradical units in a molecular species where reactions can proceed via a bimolecular pathway. Our current studies are directed toward obtaining additional rate enhancements through structural preorganization of the transition states for small molecule reactions with both homo- and heterobimetallic diporphyrin complexes.

Acknowledgment. Support for this research from the National Science Foundation and the Gas Research Institute through Contract No. 5091-260-2183 is acknowledged.

<sup>(16)</sup> Sectula, J. A.; Russell, J. J.; Gutman, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1347.

<sup>(17)</sup> Energetics of Organometallic Species; Simoes, J. A. M., Ed.; Kluwer Publishers: The Netherlands, 1992; p 73. (18) McMillen, D. F.; Golden, D. M. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1982, 33,

<sup>493.</sup>